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Executive Summary

Accessing, using, and operating services for research in today’s world, as a rule, is inherently distributed, where users are expecting to access resources not located in their Home Organization. In this complex environment, the question of trust for both users and resource providers, or Infrastructures, becomes paramount. In order to regulate and facilitate this trust, a set of policies is necessary. These policies, which are essentially a set of documents, outline the operation and operational measures undertaken by the Infrastructure to properly provide services. This document address this question, and proposes a self-contained set of policies to cover, among others, security measures, users’ management, data protection. It builds on the previous work conducted in Authentication and Authorisation in Research Communities (AARC) project and follows established best practices. As such, these set of policies are endorsed and vetted by the global research communities such as Interoperable Global Trust Federation (IGTF) and Wise Information Security for Collaborating e-Infrastructure (WISE).

Introduction

This document is intended to provide the needed set of policies for creating and running the Helmholtz Data Federation (HDF) e-Infrastructure (further in text will be addressed as Infrastructure). The policies outlined here are heavily reliant on the currently evolving AARC Policy Started Pack [1]. The work is based on “A Trust Framework for Security Collaboration among Infrastructures” (SCI) [2], and more specifically “Scalable Negotiator for a Community Trust Framework in Federated Infrastructures” (Snctfi) [3] framework. The target audience of this document is the personnel responsible for the management, operations, and security of the Infrastructure. Additional policy frameworks are also mentioned, since they are introducing further necessary concepts. The policy frameworks are not policies themselves, i.e. they provide a conceptual structure within which actual policies are defined.

While operating Infrastructure, arrangements need to be made for Data Protection, Membership Management, and Security Incident Response. The policies that are outlined here focus to take trust, assurance, and governance aspects into account and to provide a comprehensible set of documents to be adopted by relevant parties.

Policies are essential for operating the Infrastructure. They set the expectations and duties for the participants in the Infrastructure, from the management to the researchers, i.e. users, themselves. Conversely, a violation of the policy may be interpreted as a security incident, and may cause, and give grounds for, investigation to protect the Infrastructure. Policy decisions may or may not be enforced on a technical level; Infrastructure itself will have to define the permitted usage of their resources through a combination of technology and documentation.

When incorporating external identities into their ecosystem, Infrastructures are faced with new questions, including but not limited to:

- Which policies do we need to legally receive attributes contained in federated identities?
What is a reasonable expectation of the level of assurance of incoming identities?
How can I ensure that all my users are covered by an incident response capability?
What checks and measures should I put in place when managing the users of my community services, or members of virtual organisations?

The material provided here aims to address these concerns by highlighting current best practices and supplying policies. These policies should be approved by High Level Management, who should be included early in the decision making process.

Scope
The policies presented are relevant for an Infrastructure with an architecture compatible with the AARC Blueprint Architecture. The policies are to be adopted by the Infrastructure itself and, where appropriate, additional policies are suggested for Infrastructure participants such as Services, User Community Management or Users.

Policy Impact on Infrastructure Operation
Policy should be thought about early in the design phase of a project. Although it is tempting to view them as an additional layer on top of an architecture, the technical implications of policies may have considerable impact on design decisions. Some possible points to highlight are:

- The ability to display policy documents (such as privacy statements and Acceptable Use Policies) to users during workflows
- Limiting usage to user credentials with appropriate assurance
- Data minimisation, storage, retrieval and deletion, including fine grained access control
- Traceability across infrastructure services
- The capability to suspend users and revoke credentials
- The ability to announce suspension of services

This does not represent an exhaustive list and additional technical impacts may be discovered while the Infrastructure is being established.

Infrastructure Policies and Frameworks
As exposed by the research carried out in the AARC project [4], Infrastructures typically deploy Service-Provider-Proxies that group federated Services behind a single entry point. Snctfi is a framework to ensure that such an entry point exposed to an identity federation is capable of representing all the internal services with regards to their adoption of policies. The key policies needed for compliance with the framework are:

- Policies to stipulate requirements for Data Protection and Privacy
- Policies to regulate the behaviour of the management of collections of users
- Policies to coordinate the implementation of operational security practices and incident response
In addition to the policies expected by Snctfi, several frameworks are encouraged by the wider Federated Identity Community. The frameworks aim to support responsible data handling and promote trust between federated organisations. It is suggested that these frameworks be adopted and asserted by the proxy on behalf of the Infrastructure.

- The GEANT Data Protection Code of Conduct (CoCov2) [5]
- The Research and Scholarship Entity Category (R&S) [6]
- The Security Incident Response Framework for Federated Identity (Sirtfi) [7]

*Equipped with the recommended policies, and complying with best-practice community frameworks, an Infrastructure is able to support their users’ activities in a federated environment.*

**Frameworks**

The following frameworks are considered best practice for Research Communities enabling federated access. They enable trust and promote attribute release from the wider identity federation.
Snctfi Framework

Scalable Negotiator for a Community Trust Framework in Federated Infrastructures (Snctfi) paper identifies operational and policy requirements to help establish trust between an Infrastructure and identity providers either in an R&E Federation or in another Infrastructure, in each case joined via a Service Provider to Identity Provider proxy [3].

Research communities often connect to R&E federation using a Service Provider to Identity Provider proxy (SP-IdP proxy) [8]. This component, i.e. proxy, negotiates between the services in the Infrastructure and the IdPs in the federation. By positioning all the Infrastructure services behind a single proxy IdP, the Infrastructure is safeguarded against the complexity of the global R&E federations, and itself need only be registered once, for all its services, as a single SP in the R&E federations [3]. The use of proxy model poses policy challenges in establishing a sufficient trust between the Infrastructure and the federation IdPs. The Snctfi framework serves to facilitate that trust.

Snctfi trust framework presents a set of requirements in how to deal with Operational Security, User Responsibilities, Protection and processing of Personal Data. More information can be found in the paper itself [3].

GÉANT Data Protection Code of Conduct (DPCoCo)

The Data protection Code of Conduct describes an approach to meet the requirements of the EU Data Protection Directive and with the upcoming General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) [9] in federated identity management [5]. The Data protection Code of Conduct defines behavioural rules for Service Providers which want to receive user attributes from the Identity Providers managed by the Home Organisations. It is expected that Home Organisations are
more willing to release attributes to Service Providers who manifest conformance to the Data protection Code of Conduct [5].

With the current complexity and international, cross-border aspect of accessing and providing services, the question arises how to provide services to the uses inline with the current European Directive and the upcoming GDPR. Accessing Infrastructure resources requires sharing some of the users’ identifying information (i.e. personal data) from the users’ research or institutional organisation to the service provider users are accessing. These services may be in another country within or outside EU. All participants in this scenario must comply with the data protection legislation. Uneven application of national data protection legislations (which GDPR aims to solve), confusion about the current Directive and GDPR impact, discomfort about complying with the GDPR, has all led about the current state where the flow of necessary information between sources of information, i.e. institutional organisations or IdPs, and information consumers, i.e. service providers or SPs, is seriously hindered. Consequently, this has led to workarounds which undermine the reliability of credentials, burden the users with unnecessary efforts, and inhibit access to services, which all results in less proficient international collaboration and research [10].

DPCoCo proposes a scalable approach to protect users’ personal data when accessing the services, and to facilitate such access to the services. It is intended to be a harmonised framework to which service providers in EU and beyond can commit when processing users’ personal data [10]. More information can be found in the “Explanatory memorandum” [10], or in the actual document [11].

Sirtfi Trust Framework

The Security Incident Response Trust Framework for Federated Identity (Sirtfi) [7] aims to enable the coordination of incident response across federated organisations. This assurance framework comprises a list of assertions which an organisation can attest to in order to be declared Sirtfi compliant. Sirtfi demonstrates that an organisation complies with the baseline expectations for operational security and incident response in the context of identity federations.

Sirtfi lists a number of requirements that organisations need to meet in order to be declared Sirtfi-compliant. As a result, Sirtfi is used to mark trusted partners within eduGAIN. Compliance is expressed in metadata and gives a transparent view of those organisations willing to engage in collaborative incident response [12].

Sirtfi describes practices and attributes that identify an organisation as being capable of effectively participating in incident response. The framework stipulates preventative measures to protect an organization from attack, and behaviour to adopt in the event of an incident. It defines measures for:

- Operational Security - Assure the confidentiality of the exchanged information, identify trusted contacts, and guarantee a response
• Incident Response - Capability with sufficient authority to mitigate, contain the spread of, and remediate the effects of an incident
• Traceability - Ensure usefulness of logs and their collection and storage in accordance with policy
• Participant Responsibilities - Confirm that end users are aware of an appropriate Acceptable Use Policy (AUP)

Drafting and adopting policies in compliance with the Sirtfi framework is strongly encouraged for the Infrastructure. Furthermore, requiring that all the external participants in the Infrastructure, e.g. users and their IdPs, adhere to the Sirtfi framework is also strongly encouraged.

Research and Scholarship Entity Category

The Research and Scholarship Entity Category (R&S) identifies federated services that are operated for the purpose of supporting research and scholarship activity. Identity Providers demonstrate their support for research and scholarship by releasing a pre-defined set of attributes for a user, including their name, email address and additional low-risk information that may be useful for their activities [6].

We strongly encourage all participants in the Infrastructure to operate in accordance with R&S.

Policies

The following policies are a recommended minimum to satisfy the Sirtfi framework. The top level Infrastructure Policy serves to bind the entire policy set and stipulates requirements on each of the participants; Management, Infrastructure Security Contact, User Community Management, Service Management (including the Proxy Operator) and the User. The top policy identifies additional policy documents; in this case the five that are mandatory for Sirtfi compliance.

There could be additional policies, if necessary, such as Service Eligibility, Disaster Recovery, or Data Management; these policies should be linked into the Infrastructure Policy to ensure a coherent Policy set.

The following picture provides an overview of and hierarchy of policies. It serves to explain who is responsible for introducing (i.e. defining) a policy, and who must accept and follow such policy (i.e. abide by).
Infrastructure Top-level Policy

For the Infrastructure to adequately protect its assets, it is essential that the authorized behaviour of the participants be defined and communicated. The top-level policy regulates the behaviour and activities of participants in the Infrastructure, and points to additional policies as required. It serves to bind the policies together. Top level policy can be a single document or multiple, and it should be considered in conjunction with all the policy documents that are related to it.

Top-level policy (complemented by other documents) covers physical and network security, vulnerability handling and refers to additional policies including, but not limited to, Acceptable Assurance, Incident Response Procedure, Membership management.

Structure should include:

- Definitions: meaning what all the terms used in the policy denotes, i.e. resources, participants, etc.
- Objectives
- Scope
- Roles and responsibilities: Here the roles of all participants should be defined, i.e. management, users, Infrastructure Security Officer and CSIRT, Resource Centers’ Security Officer
- Physical security
- Network Security
Exceptions
Sanctions

The concrete formulation of the policy can be found in the Appendices.

Data Protection

Operating and using the infrastructure inevitably involves collection and processing of data that may contain personal information of people residing in EU. Such data must be processed lawfully, in accordance to national laws and especially in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). As a Regulation, it is legally valid in all EU countries, without the need for the ratifications by the national parliaments. However, certain liberty is left to the states to further define and regulate in a number of areas, which may cause certain inconsistencies in applying the GDPR.

An additional effect of the GDPR is the expanded territorial scope, where now the GDPR applies to all persons (natural/legal) processing the personal data of people residing in the European Economic Area (EEA), regardless of the said persons location. Penalties have been increased, and the conditions for consent are strengthened. Furthermore, additional requirements are introduced: Breach notification, Right to access, Privacy by design, Data portability, Data Protection Officers (DPO), among others.

Provisions for processing personal data could be a standalone policy, or they can be included in other existing policies. For example, in Community Membership Management policy, there can be a provision outlining how the personal data are processed.

An additional requirement for operating an Infrastructure should include conducting a risk assessment, and possibly including Data Privacy Impact Assessment (DPIA).

Privacy Statement

Privacy notices are used to inform the users about how their data are processed. Information that is needed to provide to the users must be concise and easily accessible, written in clear and plain language, and free of charge [13]. Privacy statements are required for each service to which personal data is released, this includes services accessed by a User directly and services where personal data is transferred.

Risk Assessment

The GDPR is envisioning a procedure for assessing the risks for the users when processing their personal data. This procedure is called Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA). In order to assess whether conducting a DPIA is mandatory, a certain risk assessment procedure has to be performed. The process of estimating risks for the users is not a policy per se, however the process and its conclusions should be documented. As mentioned by the
European Data Protection Board (EDPB) the DPIA, and by extension the risk assessment, can be understood and used as a method of showing compliance with the GDPR.

As mentioned, a DPIA itself may not be necessary. But, conducting a risk assessment (that, among others, demonstrates why “official” DPIA is not necessary) is highly recommended. This procedure may show, among others, how is Infrastructure processing and protecting personal data of its users.

The Infrastructure must know all its data processing functions that are taking place. For each of these, a risk assessment “for the rights and freedoms of the natural persons” should be conducted. These will then provide with more information whether an actual DPIA should be conducted. Depending on the processing, that might not be necessary. For example, the AARC guideline, “Data Protection Impact Assessment – an initial guide for communities” [15], is arguing that processing personal data for providing an access to a service does not meet the need for a DPIA. However, others types of personal data processing may require a DPIA. EDPB has issued a preliminary opinion which processing operations may merit an actual DPIA.

Membership Management

The Community membership management is administered via several policies, those mandatory for Snctfi compliance are the Community Membership Management Policy, Acceptable Use Policy, and Acceptable Authentication Assurance. These policies are needed to establish and foster trust between Infrastructures and Communities, and to demonstrate and instruct the proper behaviour of the User and User Communities. The Infrastructure and Community must ensure that the users are aware that they have certain responsibilities and roles delineated in these policies.

We strongly encourage the adoption of these policies to direct and regulate the Community membership management. Naturally, when one creates and adopts these policies, data protection and privacy consideration must be taken into account.

Community Membership Management Policy

This policy is designed to establish trust between a Community and other Communities, Infrastructures, and the R&E federations. The behaviour of the Community and its users must be appropriate and facilitate the Community’s compliance with the requirements of the Infrastructure’s policies, especially Infrastructure top-level policy. It introduces definitions and roles which Community users and management must fulfill. It also provides rules for managing the membership life cycle, including but not limited to, registration, renewal, suspension. Additionally, this policy may contain provisions for proper protection and processing of personal data. The Infrastructure should define a Community Membership Management policy that all User Communities must adopt.
Acceptable Use Policy (AUP) for end users

AUP prescribes the conditions of acceptable usage of the Infrastructures resources. It provides end users with rules and regulations to which they must conform when accessing a service. A breach of the acceptable use policy may constitute an incident and give grounds for suspension or further investigation. The Acceptable Use Policy is typically displayed to users upon registration. Communities using Infrastructure resources may wish to adopt their own AUP, however, this AUP must not clash with the AUP proscribed by the Infrastructure.

**What is this for?** An acceptable use policy provides end users with rules and regulations to which they must conform when accessing a service. A breach of the acceptable use policy may constitute an incident and give grounds for suspension or further investigation.

**Does my Infrastructure need it?** It is highly recommended that an Acceptable Use Policy be put in place. The Acceptable Use Policy is typically displayed to users upon registration and is consequently provided by the Research Community.

**What do I need to do?** The template below provides a starting point for an Acceptable Use Policy but it should not be used without thorough analysis, amendment and approval from suitable Management bodies. A Research Community operating on a generic Infrastructure may wish to add additionally restrictive clauses to the Infrastructure AUP.

Acceptable Authentication Assurance

Identity Assurance conveys the level of confidence that an Identity belongs to the expected user; this includes identity vetting, multi factor authentication and the security provisions of the identity provider among other factors. Research Communities may wish to restrict access to their services, or a subset, to credentials that conform to a certain assurance profile.

This may include measures such as supporting identity vetting, or restricting access to only certain Identity Providers. It is strongly suggested that an **acceptable minimum assurance profile be defined for the Infrastructure**, or a subset of its services, and provisions put in place to ensure that only approved users can access critical services.

To get a first approximation of the LoA profile appropriate for a service or set of services, use this diagram to match your needs to a standard profile from AARC guideline “Exchange of specific assurance information between Infrastructures” [17]. Assurance profiles should be read thoroughly and adopted according to the guidelines.
The chosen profile, or profiles, should be included in the template for Infrastructure Acceptable Authentication Assurance that should be adopted by Infrastructure elements contributing to assurance, typically Attribute Authorities and the Proxy. The template here provides a starting point for an Infrastructure Acceptable Authentication Assurance Policy but it should not be used without thorough analysis, amendment and approval from suitable Management bodies. In particular, it is up to the Infrastructure to decide which Assurance Profiles are suitable, how controls will be implemented and how the LoA will be communicated to Services.

Operational Security

Best practices in Operational Security for Research Communities encourage assertion of compliance with the Sirtfi Trust Framework by the SP Proxy, and the adoption of an Incident Response Procedure.

Incident Response Procedure

An Incident Response Procedure allows organisations to respond to security incidents in a consistent and considered manner and stipulates the steps to ensure an incident is fully resolved. In an Infrastructure this is particularly important since there are multiple participants who must collaborate. **Fundamental to Incident Response is the role of an Incident Coordinator**, it is suggested that the Infrastructure nominate a Security Contact to play this role and to interact with external identity federations. An incident response procedure is a requirement of the Sirtfi framework. Furthermore, Data Protection regulations require that appropriate security measures be put in place to mitigate risk and a security incident response procedure plays a crucial role in an Infrastructure’s ability to recover. Infrastructure should
identify a central Infrastructure Security Contact, whether that be an individual or an established team. **In addition, each Service must nominate a Security Contact.** The infrastructure should ensure that the Incident Response Procedure is known by all participants.

**Appendices – Policies and Guidance**

The following policies are based on work by EGI [18], EUDAT, AARC, and are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

The policies provided below can be used as provided. However, some small details are needed to be filled. Some of these details are:
- the location of this policy set (as an URL)
- the timespan (e.g. for the renewal of the membership)
- the location of other relevant files needed for operating an e-Infrastructure (such as Service Level Agreements, if applicable)
- contacts (for Granting Authority i.e. Infrastructure, security contacts, contacts for processing of personal data, administrative contacts, if applicable)

**Appendix A - Top Level Infrastructure Policy**

This Top Level Infrastructure Policy covers the following points:
- Who are the actors in your Infrastructure environment?
- How will you tie additional policies together for the infrastructure?
- Which bodies should approve policy wording?

**INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS**

To fulfill its mission, it is necessary for the Infrastructure to protect its assets. This document presents the policy regulating those activities of participants related to the security of the Infrastructure.

**Definitions**

The phrase Infrastructure when italicized in this document, means all of the people and organisations, hardware, software, networks, facilities, etc. that are required to develop, test, deliver, monitor, control or support IT services.

The other italicized words used in this document are defined as follows:
- **Policy** is interpreted to include rules, responsibilities and procedures specified in this document together with all those in other documents which are required to exist by stipulations in this document.
A participant is any entity providing, using, managing, operating, supporting or coordinating one or more IT service(s).

A service is any computing or software system accessible by Users of the Infrastructure.

The Management is the collection of the various boards, committees, groups and individuals mandated to oversee and control the Infrastructure.

A User is an individual who has been given authority to access and use Infrastructure resources.

A User Community is a grouping of Users, usually not bound to a single institution, which, by reason of their common membership and in sharing a common goal, are given authority to use a set of services.

- Included in the definition of a User Community are cases where services are offered to individual Users who are not members of an explicitly organised User Community.

The User Community Management is the collection of various individuals and groups mandated to oversee and control a User Community.

Objectives
This policy gives authority for actions which may be carried out by designated individuals and organisations and places responsibilities on all participants.

Scope
This policy applies to all participants. This policy augments local Service policies by setting out additional Infrastructure specific requirements.

Additional Policy Documents
Additional policy documents required for a proper implementation of this policy may be found at a location specific to the Infrastructure [R1].

Approval and Maintenance
This policy is approved by the Management and thereby endorsed and adopted by the Infrastructure as a whole. This policy will be maintained and revised by a body appointed by the Management as required and resubmitted for formal approval and adoption whenever significant changes are needed. The most recently approved version of this document is available at a location specific to the Infrastructure [R1].

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
This section defines the roles and responsibilities of participants.

The Management
The Management provides, through the adoption of this policy and through its representations on the various management bodies of the Infrastructure, the overall authority for the decisions and actions resulting from this policy including procedures for the resolution of disputes. The Management provides the capabilities for meeting its responsibilities with respect to this
policy. The Management is responsible for ensuring compliance of its participants and can represent them towards third parties with respect to this policy.

The Management is responsible for appointing a natural or legal person as Data Controller, and for publishing an Infrastructure Privacy Statement compliant with the GEANT Data Protection Code of Conduct for the Infrastructure. The Management must maintain a registry of Privacy Statements of Services to which personal data may be released. The Management is responsible for ensuring that the federation-facing proxy complies with REFEDS R&S criteria and best practices.

The Infrastructure Security Contact
The Management must appoint a Security Contact who leads and coordinates the operational security capability of the Infrastructure. This person must support the requirements of the Sirfti framework on behalf of the Infrastructure. The Security Contact may, in consultation with the Management and other appropriate persons, require actions by participants as are deemed necessary to protect the Infrastructure from or contain the spread of IT security incidents. The Security Contact also handles requests for exceptions to this policy as described below. The Security Contact is responsible for establishing and periodically testing a communications flow for use in security incidents and for reporting potential data breaches to the Data Controller.

User Community Management
The User Community Management must designate a Security contact point (person or team) that is willing and able to collaborate with affected participants in the management of security incidents.

The User Community Management should abide by the Infrastructure policies in the areas of Acceptable Use and Membership Management and all other applicable policies [R1]. Exceptions to this must be handled as in the section on Exceptions. They must ensure that only individuals who have agreed to abide by the Infrastructure AUP [R1] and have been presented with the Infrastructure Privacy Statement are registered as members of the User Community. The acceptance of the AUP must be recorded for audit trail and repeated at least once a year, or upon material changes to its content.

User Community Management and Users that provide and/or operate services must abide by all applicable policies [R1], including the Sirfti framework.

For services requiring authentication of entities the User Community Management must abide by the policy on Acceptable Authentication Assurance [R1].

User Community Management is responsible for promptly investigating reports of Users failing to comply with the policies and for taking appropriate action to limit the risk to the Infrastructure and ensure compliance in the future.

Users
Users must accept and agree to abide by the Infrastructure Acceptable Use Policy when they register or renew their registration with a User Community.

Users must use services only in pursuit of the legitimate purposes of their User Community. They must not attempt to circumvent any restrictions on access to services. Users must show
responsibility, consideration and respect towards other participants in the demands they place on the Services. 

Users that provide and/or operate services must abide by any service oriented policies adopted by the Infrastructure [R1]. 

For services requiring authentication of entities the Users must abide by the policy on Acceptable Authentication Assurance [R1]. 

Users may be held responsible for all actions taken using their credentials, whether carried out personally or not. 

No intentional sharing of User credentials is permitted.

Service Management 

The Service must designate a Security contact point (person or team) that is willing and able to collaborate with affected participants in the management of security incidents and to take prompt action as necessary to safeguard services and resources during an incident. 

Services must abide by any service oriented policies adopted by the Infrastructure [R1], including the Sirtfi framework. 

Services acknowledge that participating in the Infrastructure and allowing related inbound and outbound network traffic increases their IT security risk. Services are responsible for accepting or mitigating this risk. 

Services must deploy effective security controls to protect the confidentiality, integrity and availability of their services and resources. 

For Services requiring authentication of entities the Services must abide by the policy on Acceptable Authentication Assurance [<insert link to the policy set>]. 

For Services receiving personal data, a Privacy Statement compliant with the GEANT Data Protection Code of Conduct must be shared with the Management and presented to Users upon first access to the Service. Services are responsible for recording sufficient information such that personal data can be cleansed after the retention period is reached.

PHYSICAL SECURITY

All the requirements for the physical security of resources are expected to be adequately covered by each Service’s local security policies and practices. These should, as a minimum, reduce the risks from intruders, fire, flood, power failure, equipment failure and environmental hazards. Stronger physical security may be required for equipment used to provide certain critical services such as User Community membership services, the Authentication Proxy, or credential repositories.

NETWORK SECURITY

All the requirements for the networking security of resources are expected to be adequately covered by each Service’s local security policies and practices. To support specific User Community workflows it may be necessary to permit inbound or outbound network traffic. It is the responsibility of the Service to accept or mitigate the risks associated with such traffic.

EXCEPTIONS TO COMPLIANCE
Wherever possible, Infrastructure policies and procedures are designed to apply uniformly to all participants. If this is not possible, for example due to legal or contractual obligations, exceptions may be made. Such exceptions should be time-limited and must be documented and authorized by the *Infrastructure Security Contact* and, if required, approved at the appropriate level of the *Management*.

In exceptional circumstances it may be necessary for participants to take emergency action in response to some unforeseen situation which may violate some aspect of this policy for the greater good of pursuing or preserving legitimate Infrastructure objectives. If such a policy violation is necessary, the exception should be minimized, documented, time-limited and authorized at the highest level of the *Management* commensurate with taking the emergency action promptly, and the details notified to the Infrastructure *Security Contact* at the earliest opportunity.

**SANCTIONS**

*Services* that fail to comply with this policy in respect of a service they are operating may lose the right to have their services recognized by the Infrastructure until compliance has been satisfactorily demonstrated again.

*User Communities* who fail to comply with this policy may lose their right of access to and collaboration with the Infrastructure and may lose the right to have their services recognized by the Infrastructure until compliance has been satisfactorily demonstrated again.

*Users* who fail to comply with this policy may lose their right of access to the Infrastructure, and may have their activities reported to their *User Community* or their home organisation.

Any activities thought to be illegal may be reported to appropriate law enforcement agencies.

[R1] *<Insert a link to all Infrastructure policies>*

**Appendix B - Membership Management Policy Template**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This policy template is intended for Community Members for designing their policy regarding how they will handle their community membership (with respect to the AAI).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Which information do you need to collect on your users? Name, contact information, nationality?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● How long is membership valid?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● How often do your users need to sign an AUP?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following is based on the EGI Community Membership Management policy.

Taken from:  
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1vPcAja1EyTpkJPvJpwu3NSd8e1aVcytY3nSGthWNLU/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1vPcAja1EyTpkJPvJpwu3NSd8e1aVcytY3nSGthWNLU/edit#)

This policy is effective from *<insert date>*.
INTRODUCTION
This policy is designed to establish trust between a Community and other Communities, Infrastructures, and the R&E federations. The behaviour of the Community and its users must be appropriate and facilitate the Community’s compliance with the requirements of the Snctfi document [3]. The identifiers of requirements from the Snctfi document are provided herein for ease of reference.
This Policy applies to the Community Manager and other designated Community management personnel. It places requirements on Communities regarding eligibility, obligations and rights of their Users, and it governs their relationships with all Infrastructures with which they have a usage agreement. The Community management personnel must ensure awareness and acceptance, by the Community and its Users, of the responsibilities documented in this Policy.

DEFINITIONS
A Community is a set of one or more groups of persons (Users), organized with a common purpose, with a Community Management willing to take responsibility for all sub-groups, jointly granted access to one or more Infrastructures. It may serve as an entity which acts as the interface between the individual Users and an Infrastructure. In general, the members of the Community will not need to separately negotiate access with Service Providers or Infrastructures (hereafter jointly called Infrastructures).
Examples of Communities include, but are not limited to: User groups, Virtual Organisations, Research Communities, Research Infrastructures, Virtual Research Communities, Projects, Communities authorized to use particular portals or gateways, and geographically organized communities.

INDIVIDUAL USERS
The Community must define an Acceptable Use Policy (AUP) [RU1]. The AUP must be shown to all persons joining the Community. Acceptance of the AUP by Community members who act as responsible persons towards the Infrastructure must be an explicit action, must be recorded, and must be a prerequisite for registration in the Community [RU2]. The AUP must address at least the following areas:

- The aims and purposes, and the basis of membership of the Community
- Acceptable use
- Non-acceptable use
- Maintenance of user registration data
- Protection and use of credentials
- Data protection and privacy

The Community may rely on an Infrastructure AUP to address one or more of these requirements, provided that acceptance of such an Infrastructure AUP, in addition to the Community AUP, by the User is a prerequisite for registration. The Community AUP must not be in conflict with the referenced Infrastructure AUPs.

The data protection and privacy section of the AUP must address the relationship with the Infrastructure policies on the Processing of Personal Data, Security Traceability and Logging, and Service Operations Security.

---

1 This identifier is identical to that used in Snctfi document.
Community procedures must ensure that the User is informed of and explicitly consents to material changes to the AUP, including those that arise out of new collaborative partnerships [RU3], as soon as is feasible.

Hosts, Services and/or Robots (automated processes acting on behalf of the Community or a User) may be registered as members of the Community. In the case of such registrations, the Registration Data must include the personal details of the individual requesting registration who must assume, as a User, ongoing responsibility for the registered entity, and may be subject to additional policy requirements of the Infrastructure.

All Users are deemed to be acting in a professional capacity when interacting with or using Infrastructure Resources assigned to the Community.

COMMUNITY MANAGER AND OTHER ROLES

The Community must define a Community Manager role and assign this role to two or more individuals. The Community Manager is responsible for meeting the requirements of this Policy and those of the applicable Policies of the Infrastructures, and for implementing the necessary procedures and operational requirements [RC2].

The Community Manager does not necessarily have to be a member of the Community. The role may be performed by any individual so designated by the Community, including Infrastructure personnel.

The Community Manager must implement procedures that ensure the accuracy of individual user registration data for all Community members who act as responsible persons towards the Infrastructure. The contact information must be verified both at initial collection (registration) and on an ongoing basis (through periodic renewal or review) [RC1] and only stored and processed in compliance with applicable Data Protection legislation.

Other Community roles, such as additional management personnel and security contacts must be defined and assigned to individuals as specified in the Community Operations Security Policy or as required by the Infrastructure.

COMMUNITY

Aims and Purposes

As described above, the Community must define, in its AUP, its collective aims and purposes, i.e., the research or scholarship goals of the Community. In order to allow Infrastructures to make decisions on resource allocation [RC6], the Community should make this definition available to them, and subsequently inform them of any material changes therein [RC7].

Membership

The Community Manager is responsible for the Community Membership life cycle process of its Users [RC5]. This responsibility may be devolved to designated personnel in the Community or in the Infrastructure, and their trusted agents (such as Institute Representatives or Resource Centre Managers), hereafter collectively called Sponsors.

The Community procedures must:

- unambiguously name the individuals who take responsibility for the validity of the Registration Data provided [RC1],
- ensure there is a way of contacting the User identified as responsible for an action while using Infrastructure services as a member of the Community [RC4], and
- identify those with the authority to exercise control over the rights of its members to use the Infrastructure Resources assigned to the Community.

The Community must be aware that inappropriate actions by an individual member of the Community may adversely affect the ability of other members of the Community to use an Infrastructure [RC3].

**Membership Life Cycle: Registration**

Membership Registration is the process by which an applicant joins the Community and becomes a Member. Registration Data must be collected at the time of Registration, verified and stored in compliance with the Data Protection and Privacy Policy [OS3]. Reasonable efforts must be spent to validate the data.

The applicant must agree to abide by the AUP of the Community, and agree to use Resources of the Infrastructures exclusively for the Aims and Purposes of the Community.

**Membership Life Cycle: Assignment of Attributes**

Assignment of attributes (such as group membership, entitlements, or roles) shall be the responsibility of the Community Manager or of designated person(s) responsible for the management of such attributes.

Attribute management may be subject to an assurance profile agreed upon between the Community and the Infrastructures. Attributes shall be assigned only for as long as they are applicable.

**Membership Life Cycle: Renewal**

Membership Renewal is the process by which a User remains a member eligible to use Infrastructure Resources assigned to the Community. Membership Renewal procedures must make a reasonable effort to

- ensure that accurate Registration Data is maintained [RC4,RC5] for all eligible Users
- confirm continued eligibility of the User to use Infrastructure Resources assigned to the Community
- confirm continued eligibility of the User to any attributes
- ensure the reaffirmation of acceptance of the AUP of the Community

The maximum time span between Registration and Renewal, and between Renewals, for all Community members who act as responsible persons towards the Infrastructure, shall be one years. The User shall be able to correct and amend their Registration Data at any time.

**Membership Life Cycle: Suspension**

The Suspension of Community membership is the temporary revocation of full or partial rights and of any attributes. Suspension is done by or on behalf of the Community Manager. A User should be suspended when the Community Manager is presented with reasonable evidence that the member’s identity or credentials have been used, with or without the user’s consent, in breach of relevant Policies.

Suspension can be requested by:

- the Community Manager, the Sponsor of the User, those responsible for the assignment of attributes, or the User
- Security Officer(s) or designated operational staff of the Infrastructure
- Resource Centres participating in the Infrastructure
The Community Manager must cooperate fully with the investigation and resolution of security incidents reported by the Security Officer(s) of any Infrastructure [OS2], including acting on any requests for suspension without delay. Unless it is considered detrimental to the investigation and resolution of a security incident, the Community Manager should contact the User that was or is about to be suspended. The Community may define a dispute resolution process by which a User can challenge a Suspension.

User's rights shall not be reinstated unless the Community Manager has sent timely prior notification to all those who requested Suspension.

Membership Life Cycle: Termination
The Termination of Community membership is the removal of a member from the Community. Following Termination, the former member is no longer eligible to use Infrastructure Resources assigned to the Community and the Community must no longer assert membership or attributes for the former member.

In absence of overriding reasons, a request by the User for removal must be honored. The events that shall trigger re-evaluation of the User's membership of the Community include:

- a request by the Sponsor,
- failure to complete a membership Renewal process within the allotted time,
- end of collaboration between the User and the Community,
- end of collaboration between the User's Sponsor and the Community, if applicable,
- end of collaboration between the User and his/her Sponsor, if applicable.

PROTECTION AND PROCESSING OF PERSONAL DATA
The Community must have policies and procedures addressing the protection of the privacy of individual Users with regard to the processing of their personal data collected as a result of their membership in the Community and of their access to resources provided by any Infrastructure. These policies must be made available in a visible and easily accessible way and Users must explicitly acknowledge acceptance of these policies [DP2] (through the AUP and registration process).

The Community must inform the User (through the AUP and registration process) of the policies on the processing of Personal Data of those providers with which it has entered into agreements and that can access the User's Personal Data [DP1].

The Policy on the processing of Personal Data of the Community [DP1] shall address at least the items in A.5 section 7 of the Template Policy on the Processing of Personal Data of the AARC Recommendations and template policies for the processing of personal data [17], as amended from time to time.

It is recommended that any personal data stored by the Community is time-stamped in order to determine when it is appropriate to remove data that is no longer necessary for audit, traceability or any legal requirements.

AUDIT AND TRACEABILITY REQUIREMENTS
The Community must record and maintain an audit log of all membership lifecycle transactions. This audit log must be kept for a minimum period consistent with the Traceability and Logging Policies of all Infrastructures that provide resources to the Community. Audit logs containing
personal registration data must not be retained beyond the maximum period allowed by the Policy on the processing of Personal Data of the Community (e.g. for as long as a member is registered and entitled to use resources and one year after this data is no longer associated with such an active membership or attribute assignment).

Events that must be logged include every request for:
- Membership,
- assignment of or change to a member’s attributes,
- membership renewal,
- membership suspension,
- membership termination or re-evaluation.

Each logged event should record the date and time, the originator, the details of the event, and whether or not it was approved. The identity of the person granting or refusing the request should be recorded, including any verification steps involved and other people consulted, such as Sponsors.

REGISTRY AND REGISTRATION DATA

The Community must operate, or have operated on its behalf, a Registry that contains the membership data of the Community. This registry must be operated in a secure and trustworthy manner and in compliance with the security requirements of the Community and of the Infrastructures [OS1] in terms of authentication, authorisation, access control, physical and network security, security vulnerability handling and security incident handling. The Registry must also be operated in a manner compliant with REFEDS Sirtfi version 1 [7] [OS3].

The Registry must store at least:
- Registration data, including personal data of the User
- attributes assigned to members
- <Add or delete lines as required>

The Registration data for a User comprises verified information on at least:
- family name(s)
- given name(s)
- the employing organisation name and address
- any applicable Sponsor identity
- a professional email address
- unique and non-reassigned identifier(s) of the User and the source of authority of each identifier
- <Add or delete lines as required>

and is recommended to contain:
- professional contact telephone number so as to inform the User promptly during the investigation of security incidents and of lifecycle events
- other contact information, as voluntarily provided and maintained by the User.

The types of information recorded must be listed in the Policy on the processing of Personal Data of the Community.
Appendix C - Acceptable Authentication Assurance Policy

- Which identity providers are acceptable for your infrastructure? SAML Identity Federation IdPs? Social providers such as Google, Facebook etc?
- How much certainty does your community require of the identity? How will you validate this for each identity provider?
- How can you ensure that each user is covered by a security incident response capability at their home organisation?
- Do your services, or a subset, require step-up (multi-factor) authentication?

Taken from

INTRODUCTION
In order to protect its assets, the Infrastructure needs to authenticate, identify, and trace Users granted access to its Services. The authentication and identification must be sufficient to meet the requirements of the Security Policy and any ancillary Specific Policies, bearing in mind the nature of data stored within the Infrastructure and the heterogeneous authentication options.

DEFINITION OF APPROVED AUTHENTICATION ASSURANCE SOURCES
For HDF the minimal assurance profile is “Dogwood” (as defined in the [19]), However, we also want to allow social IdPs (such as github) In order not to be excluded from infrastructures that require higher level profiles (such as EGI, WLCG) we enforce VO managers to vet the identity of the user according to the requirements for higher profiles (such as e.g. Capuccino or Espresso, see [14]) This is also known as the “Combined Assurance” model, which is not mentioned in the AARC recommendation G021.

OPERATIONAL MATTERS
<Authentication Assurance will be propagated with the user’s authentication token for relying services to include in Authorisation decisions.>|<Only users conforming to one of the approved authentication assurance profiles shall be granted access to the Infrastructure.>

MORE-SPECIFIC POLICIES
For specific cases, a risk evaluation and assessment having been completed, different authentication assurance policies may apply. The Infrastructure shall maintain a registry of such specific policies and their area of applicability.

Appendix D - Acceptable Use Policy

The Acceptable Use Policy addresses the following points:
- What are your Research Community’s aims and purposes?
Can your infrastructure be used for commercial purposes?
Do you guarantee any availability of your services to your users?
Do you need to require citation of the infrastructure in published works?

Taken from https://wiki.geant.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=97945151

RESEARCH COMMUNITY AIMS AND PURPOSES
This Research Community is operated for the purpose of <insert a brief description>. Individual services within the Infrastructure may present additional Acceptable Use Policies. This document may be augmented by additional agreements or terms and conditions, in which case the granting authority may optionally add specific clauses - or references thereto - here that are not in conflict with the clauses below and that further define and limit what constitutes acceptable use.

USER DECLARATION
By registering as a user you declare that you have read, understood and will abide by the following conditions of use:
1. You shall only use the Services in a fashion consistent with the stated goals and policies of the Granting Authority.
2. You shall not use the Services for any purpose that is unlawful and you shall not breach, attempt to breach, nor circumvent any administrative or security controls.
3. You shall respect intellectual property and confidentiality agreements.
4. You shall protect your access credentials (e.g. private keys or passwords).
5. You shall keep all your registered information correct and up to date.
6. You shall immediately report any known or suspected security breach, credential compromise, or misuse to the security contact stated below; and report any compromised credentials to the relevant issuing authorities.
7. Reliance on the Services shall only be to the extent specified by the applicable service level agreements listed below. Use without such agreements is at your own risk.
8. The Granting Authority and the provider of the Services process your personal data in accordance with their privacy policies listed below.
9. The Granting Authority or the provider of the Services may, for administrative, operational, or security reasons, restrict or suspend your use without prior notice and without compensation, within their domain of authority, and you shall immediately comply with their instructions regarding your use of the Services.
10. If you violate these rules, you are liable for the consequences, which may include but are not limited to a report being made to your home organisation and, if the activities are thought to be illegal, to appropriate law enforcement agencies.

The administrative contact for this AUP is: <insert email address for the Granting Authority>

The security contact for this AUP is: <insert email address for the infrastructure, community, and/or Granting Authority security contact>
INTRODUCTION
This policy ensures that data collected as a result of the use of the Infrastructure is processed fairly and lawfully by Infrastructure participants. Some of this data, for example that relating to user registration, monitoring and accounting contains “personal data” as defined by the European Union (EU) [9]. The collection and processing of personal data is subject to restrictions aimed at protecting the privacy of individuals.

DEFINITIONS
Infrastructure: The bounded collection of universities, laboratories, institutions or similar entities, which adhere to a common set of policies [insert link] and together offer data processing and data storage services to End Users.
Participant: Any entity providing, managing, operating, supporting or coordinating one or more Infrastructure service(s).
Personal Data: Any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person [9].
Processing (Processed): Any operation or set of operations, including collection and storage, which is performed upon Personal Data [9].
End User: An individual who by virtue of their membership of a recognised research community is authorized to use Infrastructure services.

SCOPE
This policy covers Personal Data that is Processed as a prerequisite for or as a result of an End User’s use of Infrastructure services. Examples of such Personal Data include registration information, credential identifiers and usage, accounting, security and monitoring records.
This policy does not cover Personal Data relating to third parties included in datasets provided by the End User or the research community to which they belong as part of their research activity. Examples of such data are medical datasets which may contain Personal Data.

POLICY
By their activity in the Infrastructure, Participants:

- Declare that they have read, understood and will abide by the Principles of Personal Data Processing as set out below.
- Declare their acknowledgment that failure to abide by these Principles may result in exclusion from the Infrastructure, and that if such failure is thought to be the result of an
unlawful act or results in unlawful information disclosure, they may be reported to the relevant legal authorities.

PRINCIPLES OF PERSONAL DATA PROCESSING

I. The End User whose Personal Data is being Processed shall be treated fairly and in an open and transparent manner.

II. Personal Data of End Users (hereinafter “Personal Data”) shall be Processed only for those administrative, operational, accounting, monitoring and security purposes that are necessary for the safe and reliable operation of Infrastructure services, without prejudice to the End Users’ rights under the relevant laws.

III. Processing of Personal Data shall be adequate, relevant and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are Processed.

IV. Personal Data shall be accurate and, where necessary, kept up to date. Where Personal Data are found to be inaccurate or incomplete, having regard to the purposes for which they are Processed, they shall be rectified or purged.

V. Personal Data Processed for the purposes listed under paragraph ii above shall not be kept for longer than the period defined in a relevant Infrastructure service policy governing the type of Personal Data record being Processed (e.g. registration, monitoring or accounting) and by default shall be anonymised or purged after a period of 18 months.

VI. Appropriate technical and organisational measures shall be taken against unauthorised disclosure or Processing of Personal Data and against accidental loss or destruction of, or damage to, Personal Data. As a minimum, Infrastructure Participants shall:
   a. Restrict access to stored Personal Data under their control to appropriate authorised individuals;
   b. Transmit Personal Data by network or other means in a manner to prevent disclosure to unauthorised individuals;
   c. Not disclose Personal Data unless in accordance with these Principals of Personal Data Processing;
   d. Appoint at least one Data Protection Officer (DPO) with appropriate training and publish to the Infrastructure a single contact point for the DPO to which End Users or other Infrastructure Participants can report suspected breaches of this policy;
   e. Respond to suspected breaches of this Policy promptly and effectively and take the appropriate action where a breach is found to have occurred;
   f. Perform periodic audits of compliance to this Policy and make available the results of such audits to other Infrastructure Participants upon their request.

VII. Each Infrastructure service interface provided for the End User must provide, in a visible and accessible way, a Privacy Policy (see example policy provided below) containing the following elements:
   a. Name and contact details of the Participant Processing Personal Data;
   b. Description of Personal Data being Processed;
   c. Purpose or purposes of Processing of Personal Data;
   d. Explanation of the rights of the End User to:
i. Obtain a copy of their Personal Data being stored by the Participant without undue delay;
ii. Request that any Personal Data relating to them which is shown to be incomplete or inaccurate be rectified;
iii. Request that on compelling legitimate grounds Processing of their Personal Data should cease;

e. The contact details of the Participant’s DPO to which the End User should direct requests in relation to their rights above;
f. Retention period of the Personal Data Processed;
g. Reference to this Policy.

VIII. Personal Data may only be transferred to or otherwise shared with individuals or organisations where the recipient:
a. has agreed to be bound by this Policy and the set of common Infrastructure policies, or
b. is part of a recognised Computer Incident Response Team framework and as part of an incident investigation to prevent active or suspected misuse of Infrastructure services, or
c. presents an appropriately enforced legal request.

Appendix F - Privacy Policy

The Privacy Policy is designed to fulfil the GDPR requirements
- Who or what is your Data Controller?
- Will your Research Community have a Data Protection Officer?
- Which information do you need to collect on the user? Is this minimised?
- Specific data collected by each service may vary. Can your Infrastructure provide a template statement for all services?

This policy template is to be used per each service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Service</th>
<th>SHOULD be the same as mdui:DisplayName</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of the Service</td>
<td>SHOULD be the same as mdui:Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data controller and a contact person</td>
<td>You may wish to include the Data Controller defined for the Infrastructure, rather than per-service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data controller's</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data protection officer (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction and supervisory authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The country in which the Service Provider is established and whose laws are applied. SHOULD be an ISO 3166 code followed by the name of the country and its subdivision if necessary for qualifying the jurisdiction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to lodge a complaint to the competent Data protection authority:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructions to lodge a complaint are available at...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal data processed and the legal basis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Personal data retrieved from your Home organisation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- your unique user identifier (SAML persistent identifier) *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- your role in your Home Organisation (eduPersonAffiliation attribute) *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- your name *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Personal data gathered from yourself</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Logfiles on the service activity*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Your profile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* = the personal data is necessary for providing the Service. Other personal data is processed because you have consented to it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please make sure the list A. matches the list of requested attributes in the Service Provider’s SAML 2.0 metadata.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose of the processing of personal data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t forget to describe also the purpose of the log files, if they contain personal data (they usually do)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third parties to whom personal data is disclosed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice clause of the Code of Conduct for Service Providers. Are the 3rd parties outside EU/EEA or the countries or international organisations whose data protection EC has decided to be adequate? If yes, references to the appropriate or suitable safeguards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to access, rectify and delete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact the contact personal above. To rectify the data released by your Home Organisation, contact your Home</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the personal data and object to its processing

Organisation’s IT helpdesk.

Withdrawal of consent

If personal data is processed on user consent, how can he/she withdraw it?

Data portability

Can the user request his/her data be ported to another Service? How?

Data retention

When the user record is going to be deleted or anonymised? Remember, you cannot store user records infinitely. It is not sufficient that you promise to delete user records on request. Instead, consider defining an explicit period.

Personal data is deleted on request of the user or if the user hasn't used the Service for 18 months

Data Protection Code of Conduct

Your personal data will be protected according to the Code of Conduct for Service Providers, a common standard for the research and higher education sector to protect your privacy

Appendix G - Incident Response Procedure

- Where will you store the security contact details of the Infrastructure and its participants?
- Does your Research Community need to set up a secure data store for evidence gathered during Incident Response?
- Can your Research Community establish secure communication between its participants, management and the wider community?
- Will your infrastructure have a dedicated Computer Security Incident Response team?
- Do you have established practices to announce suspension of services?

Security Incident Response Procedure for Infrastructure Participants

1. Contain the security incident to avoid further propagation whilst aiming at carefully preserving evidence and logs. Record all actions taken, along with an accurate timestamp.
2. Report the security incident to the Infrastructure Security Contact point within one local working day of the initial discovery or notification of the security incident.
3. In collaboration with the Security Incident Response Coordinator (identified by the Infrastructure Security Contact), ensure all affected participants in the infrastructure and federation (and, if applicable, in other federations), are notified via their security contact with a “heads-up” and can take action.

4. Announce suspension of service (if applicable) in accordance with infrastructure, federation and interfederation practices.

5. Perform appropriate investigation, system analysis and forensics, and strive to understand the cause of the security incident, as well as its full extent. Identifying the cause of security incidents is essential to prevent them from reoccurring. The time and effort needs to be commensurate with the scale of the problem and with the potential damage and risks faced by affected participants.

6. Share additional information as often as necessary to keep all affected participants up-to-date with the status of the security incident and enable them to investigate and take action should new information appear.

7. Respond to requests for assistance from other participants involved in the security incident within one working day.

8. Take corrective action, restore access to service (if applicable) and legitimate user access.

9. In collaboration with the Security Incident Response Coordinator, produce and share a report of the incident with all Sirtfi-compliant organisations in all affected federations within one month. This report should be labelled TLP AMBER [3] or higher.

10. Update documentation and procedures as necessary.

Security Incident Response Procedure for the Infrastructure Security Contact

1. Assist Infrastructure participants in performing appropriate investigation, system analysis and forensics, and strive to understand the cause of the security incident, as well as its full extent. The time and effort needs to be commensurate with the scale of the problem and with the potential damage and risks faced by affected participants.

2. Report the security incident to their federation security contact point within one local working day of the initial discovery or notification of the security incident.

3. Ensure all affected participants in the infrastructure and federation (and, if applicable, in other federations) are notified via their security contact with a “heads-up” within one local working day. If other federations are affected, the eduGAIN security contact point must be notified, even if affected participants in all other federations have been contacted directly.

4. Coordinate the security incident resolution process and communication with affected participants until the security incident is resolved.

5. Ensure suspension of service (if applicable) is announced in accordance with infrastructure, federation and interfederation practices.

6. Share additional information as often as necessary to keep all affected participants up-to-date with the status of the security incident and enable them to investigate and take action should new information appear.
7. Assist and advise participants in taking corrective action, or restoring access to service (if applicable) and legitimate user access.
8. Produce and share a report of the incident with all Sirtfi-compliant organisations in all affected federations within one month. This report should be labelled TLP AMBER [3] or higher.
9. Update documentation and procedures as necessary.

Appendix H - Risk Assessment

- What type of processing involving personal data do you conduct?
- What are the risks associated with these processing activities?
- What are the mitigation procedures? Review of processing activities?
- Is the documentation about all processing activities relevant and up-to-date?

An actual template for a risk assessment is not provided, since it heavily relies on the type of processing that is taking place. However, the following questions and table can be used as an input into conducting a risk assessment, or even a full DPIA. The table below provides possible risk sources, and how can they be considered and mitigated. More information is provided in WP29 opinions [16] and Data Protection Impact Assessment - an initial guide for communities [15]. This AARC guideline analyses the risks in the context of Infrastructure SP-IdP Proxy, and argues that using the federated identity mechanisms - and following outlined best practices, policy frameworks, and existing interpretations by some Data Protection Authorities - significantly reduces the risks for the data subjects. As a result, in the many cases it is unlikely that a DPIA will be needed for the data gathered as a result of using the Infrastructure itself.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks</th>
<th>Impacts on data subjects</th>
<th>Main risk sources</th>
<th>Main threats</th>
<th>Existing or planned measures</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illegitimate access to personal data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted change of data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disappearance of data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix Z - Additional Policies of Interest

The Infrastructure may wish to define additional policies beyond those recommended in this material. The policies provided below may serve as a guidance in drafting those policies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Eligibility</td>
<td>ELIXIR <a href="https://docs.google.com/document/d/1cJ3mR8lqtZKRMvSFalSmPbqd1OPU-L6YcUFIRnh1rhQ/edit#heading=h.4gk94slczi">https://docs.google.com/document/d/1cJ3mR8lqtZKRMvSFalSmPbqd1OPU-L6YcUFIRnh1rhQ/edit#heading=h.4gk94slczi</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traceability &amp; Logging</td>
<td>EGI <a href="https://wise-community.org/sci/">Security Traceability and Logging Policy</a> (Updated 14 Nov 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster Recovery</td>
<td>CTSC <a href="https://aarc-project.eu/policies/snctfi/">Disaster Recovery Policy Template</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Operations</td>
<td>EGI <a href="https://aarc-project.eu/architect">Service Operations Security Policy</a> (Updated 1 June 2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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